PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: R. Biesboer & Emil Janowiak

DOCKET NO.: 01-26452.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 29-22-302-032

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (hereinafter PTAB) are R. Biesboer & Emil Janowiak, the appellants, by attorney Timothy G. Doody with the law firm of Weis, DuBrock & Doody in Chicago and the Cook County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a vacant parcel of land containing 799,718 square feet.

The appellant, via counsel, argued that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in the property's assessed valuation as the basis of this appeal. In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted copies of the settlement statement and the real estate contract. The appellant submitted the final decision issued by the Cook County Board of Review establishing a total assessment for the subject of \$121,169, which reflects a market value of approximately \$550,768 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 1 property of 22%. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the subject's appraised value.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" nor evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{a\ reduction}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the $\underline{\mathbf{Cook}}$ County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 87,742 IMPR.: \$ 0 TOTAL: \$ 87,742

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/0668JBV

parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant in this appeal submitted a copy of the real estate contract and the settlement statement evidencing that the subject property sold in September 2001 for \$398,825. The subject's assessment reflects a market value greater than the sale price presented by the appellant. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument as required by Section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$398,825 as of January 1, 2001. Since market value has been determined the 22% level of assessment for class 1 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.50(c)(3).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law $(735 \, \text{LCS} \, 5/3-101 \, \text{et seq.})$ and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 25, 2008

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\overline{\text{PETITION}}$ AND $\overline{\text{EVIDENCE}}$ WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.